

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,694	01/05/2006	Gerard A Friour	85717JJH	3006	
1333 7590 05/08/2009 EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY			EXAMINER		
PATENT LEC	GAL STAFF	CLARK, GREGORY D			
343 STATE S'	FREET , NY 14650-2201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ROCILISTER	,111 11000 2201		1794	794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/08/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,694	FRIOUR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GREGORY CLARK	1794	

	Examiner	AILUIIL					
	GREGORY CLARK	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MORTH'S from the mailing date of the communication. - If the principle is specified above, the national statement of the provision of the provi	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
,	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer						
· 	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Plant eliter of Oleher							
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						

Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/05/2006.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-12 and 17-18 of copending Application No. 10563693. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The instant application claims "a mixed aluminum and silicon alkoxide only comprising hydrolyzable functions" and the copending application claims a mixture of hydrolyzable and non- hydrolyzable groups. The use of large numbers of hydrolizable groups contributes to the inorganic nature, albeit crystalline nature, of the aluminosilicate, the presence of non-hydrolizable groups such as (alkyl groups) contribute to the organic nature of the structure and would make it less crystalline.

It is common in the art to use aluminum alkoxides or silicon alkoxides with hydrolysable or non- hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures in order to balance these two properties. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used hydrolysable or non-hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures. The usage of hydrolysable or non- hydrolysable groups is commonly used to control the crosslink density (related to film durability and absorptivity) of the aluminosilicate network whether by exclusively selecting all hydrolysable groups, all non- hydrolysable groups or a mixture of hydrolysable/non- hydrolysable groups. These hydrolysable /non-

Art Unit: 1794

hydrolysable groups and the related combination thereof are well known in to the art and would be obvious.

Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-3, 5-12, 16 and 23-25 of copending Application No. 10521898. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The instant application claims "a mixed aluminum and silicon alkoxide only comprising hydrolyzable functions" and the copending application claims a mixture of hydrolyzable and non- hydrolyzable groups. The use of large numbers of hydrolizable groups contributes to the inorganic nature, albeit crystalline nature, of the aluminosilicate, the presence of non-hydrolizable groups such as (alkyl groups) contribute to the organic nature of the structure and would make it less crystalline.

It is common in the art to use aluminum alkoxides or silicon alkoxides with hydrolysable or non- hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures in order to balance these two properties. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used hydrolysable or non-hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures. The usage of hydrolysable or non- hydrolysable groups is commonly used to control the crosslink density (related to film durability and absorptivity) of the aluminosilicate network whether by exclusively selecting all hydrolysable groups, all non- hydrolysable groups or a mixture of hydrolysable/non- hydrolysable groups. These hydrolysable /non-

Art Unit: 1794

hydrolysable groups and the related combination thereof are well known in to the art and would be obvious.

Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-11 and 15 and 16 copending Application No. 10578810. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The instant application claims "a mixed aluminum and silicon alkoxide only comprising hydrolyzable functions" and the copending application claims a mixture of hydrolyzable and non-hydrolyzable groups. The use of large numbers of hydrolysable groups contributes to the inorganic nature, albeit crystalline nature, of the aluminosilicate, the presence of non-hydrolizable groups such as (alkyl groups) contribute to the organic nature of the structure and would make it less crystalline.

It is common in the art to use aluminum alkoxides or silicon alkoxides with hydrolysable or non- hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures in order to balance these two properties. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used hydrolysable or non-hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures. The usage of hydrolysable or non- hydrolysable groups is commonly used to control the crosslink density (related to film durability and absorptivity) of the aluminosilicate network whether by exclusively selecting all hydrolysable groups, all non- hydrolysable groups or a mixture of hydrolysable/non- hydrolysable groups. These hydrolysable /non-

Art Unit: 1794

hydrolysable groups and the related combination thereof are well known in to the art and would be obvious.

Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10578205. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The instant application claims "a mixed aluminum and silicon alkoxide only comprising hydrolyzable functions" and the copending application only comprising hydrolyzable functions. The use of large numbers of hydrolizable groups contributes to the inorganic nature, albeit crystalline nature, of the aluminosilicate, the presence of non-hydrolizable groups such as (alkyl groups) contribute to the organic nature of the structure and would make it less crystalline.

It is common in the art to use aluminum alkoxides or silicon alkoxides with hydrolysable or non- hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures in order to balance these two properties. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used hydrolysable or non-hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures. The usage of hydrolysable or non- hydrolysable groups is commonly used to control the crosslink density (related to film durability and absorptivity) of the aluminosilicate network whether by exclusively selecting all hydrolysable groups, all non- hydrolysable groups or a mixture of hydrolysable/non- hydrolysable groups. These hydrolysable /non-hydrolysable groups and the related combination thereof are well known in to the art and would be obvious.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poncelet (WO/2004/009368).

Regarding Claim 1, Poncelet teaches an ink jet element containing a support and at least one ink-receiving layer, said ink-receiving layer comprising at least one hydrosoluble binder and at least one aluminosilicate polymer obtainable by a preparation method consisting in treating an aluminum halide with a mixture of at least one silicon alkoxide only having hydrolyzable substituents and at least one silicon alkoxide having a non-hydrolyzable substituent, with an aqueous alkali in the presence of silanol groups, the aluminum concentration being maintained less than 0.3 mol/l, the Al/Si molar ratio being maintained between I and 3.6 and the alkali/Al molar ratio being maintained between 2.3 and 3; and then stirring the resulting mixture at ambient

Art Unit: 1794

temperature in the presence of silanol groups for long enough to form the hybrid aluminosilicate polymer (page 3, lines 13-30; page 4, lines 1-24).

The examiner takes the position that one would be at liberty to use hydrolysable or non-hydrolysable groups and/ or mixtures for the intended application. It would have been a simple matter of selecting the appropriate aluminum or silicon compounds that did not contain alkyl substituents or some other non-hydrolysable group. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have varied this with a reasonable expectation of success.

Regarding Claims 2-4, Poncelet discloses use of sodium, potassium or lithium hydroxide recording element (page 8, lines 4 and 5) and colloidal silica (page 3, line 4).

Regarding Claims 6 and 7, Poncelet discloses that the hybrid aluminosilicate in the ink receiving layer comprises 5 to 95 percent by weight of the dry state ink receiving layer (page 11, lines 28-30).

Regarding Claim 8, Poncelet discloses the method for preparing the hybrid aluminosilicate (page 7, lines 26-27) involves an aqueous solution of sodium, potassium or lithium hydroxide (page 8, lines 4-5).

Art Unit: 1794

Regarding Claims 9 and 10, Poncelet discloses a recording element where in the aluminum concentration is maintained at less than 0.3mol/L (page 3, line 24-25) and the alkali/Al molar ratio is between 2.3 and 3 (page 3, lines 26-27).

Regarding Claim 11, Poncelet discloses a preparation method that comprises treating a mixed aluminum and silicon precursor resulting from the hydrolysis of a mixture of aluminum compounds and silicon compounds only having hydrolyzable substituents and silicon compounds having a non-hydrolyzable substituent is a product form an aqueous alkali (pages 3, lines 21-24) where by the aluminum compound is selected from the group consisting of aluminum salts, aluminum alkoxides and aluminum halogenoalkoxides, at least one compound selected from the group consisting of unmodified silicon alkoxides and chloroalkoxides, at least one compound selected from the group consisting of modified silicon alkoxides and chloroalkoxides. (page 6, lines 10-15).

The examiner takes the position that one would have full liberty to remove silicon compounds having non-hydrolyzable substituents resulting in the hydrolysis of a mixture of aluminum compounds and silicon compounds only having hydrolyzable substituents.

Regarding Claim 12, Poncelet discloses a recording element where the mixed aluminum and silicon compounds are made from a mixture (i) of an aluminum halide and (ii) a mixture with at least one silicon compound having hydrolyzable substituents

Art Unit: 1794

and at least one silicon compound having non-hydrolyzable substituents (page 7, lines 1-3).

The examiner takes the position that one would have full liberty to remove silicon compounds having non-hydrolyzable substituents resulting in a mixture (i) of an aluminum halide and (ii) silicon compound having hydrolyzable substituents.

Regarding Claim 13, Poncelet discloses a modified silicon alkoxide can be represented by the formula R'-Si- (OR) 3, wherein R represents an alkyl group comprising 1 to 5 carbon atoms R' represents H, F, or a substituted or unsubstituted linear or branched alkyl or alkenyl group, comprising 1 to 8 carbon atoms, (e. g. a methyl, ethyl, n-propyl, n-butyl, 3-chloropropyl group, or a vinyl group), the modified (non-hydrolyzable) silicon alkoxide is methyltriethoxysilane or vinyltriethoxysilane, and, the unmodified (hydrolyzable) silicon alkoxide is tetramethyl or tetraethyl orthosilicate (page 7, lines 4-14).

Regarding Claim 14, Poncelet discloses a recording element where the hydrophilic binder can be gelatin or polyvinyl alcohol (page 5, line 15).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poncelet (WO/2004/009368) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Liu (5958168).

Art Unit: 1794

Regarding Claim 5, Poncelet does not disclose the use of calcium or barium carbonate in the ink receiving layer of the ink recording element.

Liu an ink jet recording element where the ink receiving layer may contain calcium carbonate (column 7, line 51).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Poncelet and Liu before him or her to modify the ink receiving layer of Poncelet to include calcium carbonate of Liu because Poncelet uses particle and the calcium carbonate taught by Liu could easily incorporated.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that ink receiving layers taught by Liu imparts improved ink absorption (column 8, line 53-54).

Claims 1-10, and 13-14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lui (6548149).

Regarding Claims 1, 9-10, Lui discloses an ink recording element formed on a substrate and including a binder and particles of silica prepared by wet method and/or aluminosilicate agglomerated with each other without binder (abstract). Lui further discloses that the aluminosilicate can be produced by subjecting a mixture containing, as principal components, aluminum alkoxide and silicon hydroxide for a hydrolysis (controlled) procedure, and are a complex product comprising alumina moieties and silica moieties which are closely combined with each other to such an extent that these moieties cannot be isolated from each other. Usually, in the aluminosilicate particles,

Art Unit: 1794

the alumina moieties (Al_2O_3) and the silica moieties (SiO_2) are contained in a weight ratio (Al/Si) of 1:4 to 4:1, preferably about 6:2 (column 9, lines 44-53).

Lui teaches the preparation of aluminosilicate and its use in ink receiving layers.

The examiner takes the position that Lui demonstrates that the preparation of aluminosilicate is conducted by hydrolysis and results in a specific ratio range relative to Al/Si. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would conduct such a synthesis to produce a desired ratio that would be similar to the applicant.

Regarding Claims 2-5, Lui also discloses that the ink receiving layer can contain aluminum oxide, aluminum hydroxide (column 11, lines 22-23), colloidal silica (column 3, line 50), calcium carbonate (column 11, lines 22-23).

Regarding Claims 6-7, Lui discloses that in the ink-receiving there is no limitation to the solid weight ratio of the binder to the silica and/or aluminosilicate pigment particles. Preferably, the binder/pigment ratio is 10:1 to 10:10, more preferably 10:2 to 10:6. If the content of the binder is too high, the resultant ink-receiving layer has a small total volume of pores and thus exhibits unsatisfactory ink absorption.

Lui does not give the exact weight of aluminosilicate in the ink receiving layer-the criteria associated with determining the proper amount is disclosed. The examiner takes the position take Lui shows the awareness in the prior art of the need to add proper amounts of materials to the ink receiving layer by pointing out the unsatisfactory results that can occur when the levels are inappropriate.

Art Unit: 1794

Lui discloses the claimed invention except for exact weight of aluminosilicate in the ink receiving layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the amount of aluminosilicate in the ink receiving layer, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Regarding Claim 8, Lui does not disclose the use of sodium, potassium and lithium hydroxide in the hydrolysis of the aluminum and silicon compounds.

The examiner takes the position the hydrolysis of metal alkoxide compounds is standard in the art and generally conducted under basic condition due to the instability of such material to acidic reaction medium. A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have full range to use a plethora of basic material to affect controlled hydrolysis which would include sodium, potassium or lithium hydroxide.

Regarding Claim 13, Lui discloses the use of tetraethyl orthosilicate in the preparation of aluminosilicate (column 23, lines 12-25).

Regarding Claim 14, Lui discloses polyvinyl alcohol as a binder for the recording media (column 8, line 33).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,694 Page 13

Art Unit: 1794

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY CLARK whose telephone number is (571)270-7087. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00 AM to 5 PM Alternating Fri 7:30 AM to 4 PM and Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Tarazano can be reached on (571) 272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794 /GREGORY CLARK /GDC/ Examiner Art Unit 1794 Application/Control Number: 10/563,694 Page 14

Art Unit: 1794